

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,748	10/681,748 10/09/2003		Frederick A. Parker	3357-Z	8134	
7	7590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Law Office of	Law Office of Jim Zegeer				KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
Suite 108				ART UNIT		
801 North Pitt	801 North Pitt Street				PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria VA 22214				2762		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TWI

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/681,748	PARKER, FREDERICK A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 September 2005</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office Ad	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20051201					

This office action is responsive to communications filed 09/27/05.

Claims 1 – 10 are pending.

Applicant's election with traverse of Species A (Figure 1) in the reply filed on 1.

09/27/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Figure 1 (Species A)

is duplicated in Figure 3 (Species B) and thus claim 1 is generic to both species. This is

not found persuasive because the argument pertains to the generic nature of claim 1

and not to whether the species are patentably distinct. The species remain patentably

distinct since Species B requires the second fluid to be also subject to actions of

controller whereas Species A does not require that.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 5 – 8 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected species.

Claims 1 – 4, 9 and 10 remain for further consideration.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

3. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Balazy et al. (US 6,152,162).

Balazy et al. discloses (See Fig. 6, for example) a fluid flow control system (400)

comprising a flow path coupling a source of fluid (418); a valve (420) in said flow path, a

flow restrictor (428) in said flow path, a pressure transducer (414, 416) connected

across said flow restrictor for producing a control signal proportional to pressure

Page 3

differential there across and a controller (405) connected to receive said control signal and pulse said valve at a frequency to obtain a preset target value of pressure differential across the resistor.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balazy et al. (US 6,152,162) as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Doty et al. (US 2001/0032668 A1).

The patent to Balazy et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing a system of mixing two or more fluids comprising in combination the fluid flow control system recited in claim 1, coupled to a mixer that is also coupled to a source of second fluid.

Doty et al. discloses (Fig. 1, for example) a system of mixing two or more fluids comprising sources (110, 120) of first and second fluids, a controller (200) and a mixer (130) for the purpose of obtaining a controlled mixture of the fluids.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have coupled the control system disclosed in Balazy et al. to the mixing arrangement disclosed in Doty et al. for the purpose of providing a controlled mixture of fluids. It is noted that the control system of Balazy et al. is equivalent to the combination of the controller (200) and the flow controller (160) in Doty et al..

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balazy et al. (US 6,152,162) as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Lowery et al. (US 6,564,824).

The patent to Balazy et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing means for inputting a flow-modifying signal to the controller.

Lowery et al. discloses (Col. 9, lines 30 – 33) means for inputting a flow modifying signal to the controller to account for changes to the relationship between flow and pressure differential that could arise for example, from changes in operating temperature.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in Balazy et al. means for inputting a flow modifying signal to the controller to account for changes to the relationship between flow and pressure differential that could arise for example, from changes in operating temperature, for the purpose of obtaining a more accurate measure of the flow.

7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Balazy et al. (US 6,152,162) and Doty et al. as applied to claims 2 and 10 above, and further in view of Lowery et al. (US 6,564,824).

The combination of Balazy et al. and Doty et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing means for inputting a flow-modifying signal to the controller.

Lowery et al. discloses (Col. 9, lines 30 - 33) means for inputting a flow modifying signal to the controller to account for changes to the relationship between

Application/Control Number: 10/681,748 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

flow and pressure differential that could arise for example, from changes in operating

temperature.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have provided in the combination of Balazy et al. and Doty et al.

means for inputting a flow modifying signal to the controller to account for changes to

the relationship between flow and pressure differential that could arise for example,

from changes in operating temperature, for the purpose of obtaining a more accurate

measure of the flow.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from

10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen L. Blau, can be reached on (571) 272 – 4406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Privates Capter (ERC) at 866, 317, 0107 (tell free).

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753